

City of Charlotte

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center 600 East 4th Street Charlotte. NC 28202

Legislation Text

File #: 15-15323, Version: 1

Public Hearing on a Resolution to Close Cecil Street

Action:

Conduct a public hearing to close Cecil Street.

Staff Resource(s):

Liz Babson, Transportation Krystal Bright, Transportation

Explanation

- North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys.
- The Charlotte Department of Transportation (CDOT) received a petition to abandon public right-ofway and requests this City Council action in accordance with the statute.
- The proposed action removes land from public right-of-way and attaches it to the adjacent property.
- The road to be closed is located in Council District 1.
- In compliance with NC G.S. 166A-19.24. Remote meetings during certain declarations of emergency, written comments on the public hearing topic will be accepted by the Clerk's Office through March 23, 2021, at 11:59 p.m. Any additional comments received will be provided to Council.

Petitioner

Midtown Area Partners II, LLC

Right-of-Way to be Abandoned

Cecil Street

Reason

Per the petition submitted by Bridgewood Houston Property Group, the abandonment of the alley would allow the adjoining parcels to utilize the unopened and unused alley for future redevelopment. The city has no objections.

Notification

As part of the city's notification process, and in compliance with North Carolina General Statute 160A-299, CDOT submitted this abandonment petition for review by the public and city departments.

Adjoining property owner(s)

OAR Properties, LLC - No objections Carl Ellington, Jr. - No objections Mary Ellington Sailors - No objections McDowell/Cochrane - No objections Jean Lamb - No objections Janet Hall - No objections Carl Ellington, Sr. - No objections

File #: 15-15323, Version: 1

City Departments

- Review by city departments identified no apparent reason this closing would:
 - Be contrary to the public interest;
 - Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity of reasonable means of ingress and egress to their property as outlined in the statutes; and
 - Be contrary to the adopted policy to preserve existing rights-of-way for connectivity.

Attachment(s)

Мар