

Legislation Text

File #: 15-13828, Version: 1

Public Hearing on a Resolution to Close an Alleyway off Hawkins Street Between West Worthington Avenue and West Boulevard

Action:

Conduct a public hearing to close an alleyway off Hawkins Street between West Worthington Avenue and West Boulevard.

Staff Resource(s):

Liz Babson, Transportation Casey Mashburn, Transportation

Explanation

- North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys.
- The Charlotte Department of Transportation received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and requests this City Council action in accordance with the statute.
- The proposed action removes land from public right-of-way and attaches it to the adjacent property.
- The street to be closed is located in Council District 3.
- In compliance with NC G.S.166A-19.24. Remote meetings during certain declarations of emergency, written comments on the public hearing topic will be accepted by the Clerk's Office through August 11, 2020, at 11:59 p.m. Any additional comments received will be provided to Council.

Petitioner

Commercial Development Holdings, LLC

Right-of-Way to be Abandoned

An alleyway off Hawkins Street between West Worthington Avenue and West Boulevard

Reason

Per the petition submitted by Commercial Development Holdings, LLC, closing of the alley will allow Commercial Development Holdings, LLC, as the owner of the adjacent properties, to make improvements to its existing commercial facilities on those adjacent properties and provide for future redevelopment opportunities. The city has no objections.

Notification

As part of the city's notification process, and in compliance with North Carolina General Statute 160A-299, the Charlotte Department of Transportation submitted this abandonment petition for review by the public and city departments.

<u>Adjoining property owner(s)</u> Commercial Development Holdings, LLC - No objections

City departments

- Review by city departments identified no apparent reason this closing would:
 - Be contrary to the public interest;
 - Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity of reasonable means of ingress and egress to their property as outlined in the statutes; and
 - Be contrary to the adopted policy to preserve existing rights-of-way for connectivity.

Attachment(s)

Мар