



## Legislation Text

---

File #: 15-13393, Version: 1

---

### Public Hearing on a Resolution to Close a Portion of Mt. Holly Road Extension

#### **Action:**

**Conduct a public hearing to close a portion of Mt. Holly Road Extension.**

#### **Staff Resource(s):**

Liz Babson, Transportation

Casey Mashburn, Transportation

#### **Explanation**

- North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys.
- The Charlotte Department of Transportation received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and requests this City Council action in accordance with the statute.
- The proposed action removes land from public right-of-way and attaches it to the adjacent property.
- The road to be closed is located in Council District 2.
- In compliance with NC G.S. § 166A-19.24 *Remote meetings during certain declarations of emergency*, written comments on the public hearing topic will be accepted by the Clerk's Office through June 9, 2020, at 11:59 p.m. Any additional comments received will be provided to Council.

#### **Petitioner**

Huntersville Investments, LLC

#### **Right-of-Way to be Abandoned**

Mt. Holly Road Extension

#### **Reason**

Per the petition submitted by Huntersville Investments, LLC, the closing of a residual portion of Mt. Holly Road Extension will enable the petitioner to incorporate the excess right-of-way into their property to accommodate the construction of up to three single-family homes, as originally shown in the rezoning plan. The city has no objections.

#### **Notification**

As part of the city's notification process, and in compliance with North Carolina General Statute 160A-299, the Charlotte Department of Transportation submitted this abandonment petition for review by the public and city departments.

#### Adjoining property owner(s)

Huntersville Investments, LLC - No objections

#### City departments

- Review by city departments identified no apparent reason this closing would:

- Be contrary to the public interest;
- Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity of reasonable means of ingress and egress to their property as outlined in the statutes; and
- Be contrary to the adopted policy to preserve existing rights-of-way for connectivity.

**Attachment(s)**

Map