

Legislation Text

File #: 15-11527, Version: 1

Public Hearing on a Resolution to Close the Unopened Alleyway off West Palmer Street and West Carson Boulevard

Action:

- A. Conduct a Public Hearing to close the unopened alleyway off West Palmer Street and West Carson Boulevard, and**
- B. Adopt a Resolution to close the unopened alleyway off West Palmer Street and West Carson Boulevard.**

Staff Resource(s):

Liz Babson, Transportation
Casey Mashburn, Transportation

Explanation

- North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedure for permanently closing streets and alleys.
- The Charlotte Department of Transportation received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and requests this City Council action in accordance with the statute.
- The action removes land from public right-of-way and attaches it to the adjacent property.
- The attached resolution refers to exhibits and metes and bounds descriptions that are available in the City Clerk's Office.
- The unopened alleyway off West Palmer Street and West Carson Boulevard is located in Council District 3.

Petitioner

BOULEVARD REAL ESTATE ADVISORS LLC

Right-of-Way to be Abandoned

The unopened alleyway off West Palmer Street and West Carson Boulevard.

Reason

The abandonment of the alley will facilitate the redevelopment of certain parcels of land that abut the alley as a residential community.

Notification

As part of the city's notification process, and in compliance with North Carolina General Statute 160A-299, the Charlotte Department of Transportation submitted this abandonment petition for review by the public and city departments.

Adjoining property owner(s)

Dickerson Realty Florida, Inc. - No objections
Jim Griffin Roofing Company, Inc. - No objections
Lillian W. Griffin, Trustee of the Lillian W Griffin Revocable Trust - No objections

South End Gold, LLC - No objections

Neighborhood/Business Association(s)

None

Private Utility Companies

No objections

City Departments

- Review by city departments identified no apparent reason this closing would:
 - Be contrary to the public interest;
 - Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity of reasonable means of ingress and egress to their property as outlined in the statutes; and
 - Be contrary to the adopted policy to preserve existing rights-of-way for connectivity.

Attachment(s)

Map

Resolution