

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	15-14738	Version:	1	Name:	
Type:	Public Hearing Item	Status:		Agenda Ready	
File created:	12/3/2020	In control:		City Council Business Meeting	
On agenda:	1/4/2021	Final action:			
Title:	Public Hearing on a Resolution to Close Macie Street				
Attachments:	1. 2020-16A Macie Street Abandonment Map				

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

Public Hearing on a Resolution to Close Macie Street

Action:

Conduct a public hearing to close Macie Street.

Staff Resource(s):

Liz Babson, Transportation

Casey Mashburn, Transportation

Explanation

- North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys.
- The Charlotte Department of Transportation received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and requests this City Council action in accordance with the statute.
- The proposed action removes land from public right-of-way and attaches it to the adjacent property.
- The road to be closed is located in Council District 3.
- In compliance with NC G.S.166A-19.24. *Remote meetings during certain declarations of emergency*, written comments on the public hearing topic will be accepted by the Clerk's Office through January 5, 2021, at 11:59 p.m. Any additional comments received will be provided to Council.

Petitioner

CC Fund 3, LLC

Right-of-Way to be Abandoned

Macie Street

Reason

Per the petition submitted by CC Fund 3, LLC, the petitioner needs this abandonment for a future road connecting Yeoman Road to future Gilead Street. The city has no objections.

Notification

As part of the city's notification process and in compliance with North Carolina General Statute 160A-299, the Charlotte Department of Transportation submitted this abandonment petition for review by the public and city departments.

Adjoining property owner(s)

Harry & Paula Page - No objections

John Williams - No objections

Nanapop, LLC - No objections

City Departments

- Review by city departments identified no apparent reason this closing would:
 - Be contrary to the public interest;
 - Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity of reasonable means of ingress and egress to their property as outlined in the statutes; and
 - Be contrary to the adopted policy to preserve existing rights-of-way for connectivity.

Attachment(s)

Map