















Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) Policing

January 22, 2018



Overview

- Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) Overview
- Law enforcement agreements between City of Charlotte and Mecklenburg County
- Agreement update



ETJ Definition

- ETJs are areas outside city limits that is likely to become part of the city or town limits.
 - Subject to Planning & Development regulations
 - Property owners taxed for police and rural fire protection
 - Residents do not vote in City Council elections



Current ETJ Policing Services

- Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department (CMPD) jurisdiction:
 - City of Charlotte and ETJ
 - Cornelius ETJ
 - Huntersville ETJ
 - Mint Hill ETJ
 - Pineville Sphere of Influence
- Services include standard patrol responses and specialty units, such as canine and crime scene support, when needed
- The six surrounding Towns provide police services within their respective town limits



Funding Police Services in the ETJs

 Law Enforcement Service District (LESD) created in 1996 to fund law enforcement services in the ETJs

- Levied on ETJ residents
 - FY 2018 rate: 21.46 cents per \$100 assessed value



Current LESD Budget Formula

Step 1

Determine ETJs share of CMPD's total jurisdiction

CMPD Service District, FY 2018			
City of Charlotte Population	808,585		
Cumulative ETJ Population	60,911		
CMPD Total Service District	869,496		
ETJ Share of Service District Population	7%		

Step 2

Multiply CMPD's overall budget by the ETJ share of population

County's Estimated FY 2018 LESD Cost		
CMPD Budget	\$257 million	
ETJ Share of Service District	7%	
Population		
County Share of LESD Cost	\$18 million	



Recent Actions

- In April 2017, Mecklenburg County Board of Commissioners gave the required 14-month notice to terminate the Consolidated Agreement
- As of July 1, 2018, CMPD will no longer be the law enforcement authority within the ETJs
- However, the City and County have continued discussions and are working through a new agreement



Proposed Contract Modifications

- Clean up language no longer pertinent
- Eliminate the Huntersville ETJ, Cornelius ETJ, and Pineville sphere of influence from CMPD's police service
 - CMPD will continue to provide service in the Charlotte ETJ, the Town of Mint Hill ETJ, and at the McGuire Nuclear Power Plant
- Increased emphasis on CMPD data reporting to the Board of County Commissioners



Proposed Contract Modifications

- Reduction in County payment to the City
 - From \$18.0 million in FY 2018 to \$14.0 million in FY 2019
 - Annual increase commensurate with property value growth in the remaining ETJs
 - Property tax rate in ETJs should be maintained at 21.46 cents (set by the County)
- 5 year initial term, with 23 month required notice for termination by either party





 Staff is continuing to work with Mecklenburg County to finalize to contract modifications

 Council will see a potential agreement for approval at an upcoming Council meeting



Resource Slides



Extraterritorial Jurisdictions

• CMPD's estimated total service area: 869,496

FY 2018 Population Estimates				
Municipality	City Limits	ETJ	Total	
Charlotte	808,585	47,477	856,062	
Cornelius	28,943	277	29,220	
Davidson*	12,633	773	13,406	
Huntersville	56,223	2,373	58,596	
Matthews	28,756	-	28,756	
Mint Hill*	25,182	5,919	31,101	
Pineville	8,580	4,092**	12,672	
Stallings*	401	-	401	
Total	969,303	60,911	1,030,214	

^{*}Include Mecklenburg County portion only

^{**}Former Pineville Sphere (1984-2014)



Timeline of Agreements



Amends
original Consolidation
Agreement to
include funding
mechanism for CMPD
services within ETJs

1996

1975

2017

House Bill 1366 granted countywide jurisdiction to Charlotte Police Department

1969


Consolidation
Agreement
creates CMPD
and establishes
CMPD jurisdiction
within ETJs

1993

Board of
Commissioners
votes unanimously
to terminate the
1996 Consolidation
Agreement, ending
CMPD jurisdiction
within ETJs



History



- In 1984, Spheres of Influence agreements were established between Charlotte and the six Mecklenburg Towns that set future annexation boundaries
- Five of the six towns renewed the annexation agreements in 2014
- Pineville renewal is now under discussion
- The agreements allowed for orderly annexation as growth occurred County-wide