To Approve:

(<u>I move that the</u>) Zoning Committee finds the petition to be *inconsistent* with the *East District Plan*, based on information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

• The plan recommends multi-family and greenway land uses.

(<u>However, we find</u>) this petition to be reasonable and in the public interest, based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- The surrounding area is developed with single family detached and attached dwelling units recommended for residential uses ranging in densities from four dwelling units per acre up to eight dwelling units per acre, and multi-family uses; and
- The proposed R-6 (single family residential) zoning district is consistent with the surrounding single family zoning districts in the area, which include R-3 (single family residential) and MX-2 (mixed use) zoning districts; and
- The proposed zoning will help to maintain the low to moderate density residential character of the area.

Consistency: Maker: 2 ND : Approve or Deny	Recommendation: Maker: 2 ^{№D} : Approve or Deny		
		Vote:	Vote:
		Dissenting:	Dissenting:
Recused:	Recused:		

To Deny:

(<u>I move that the</u>) Zoning Committee finds the petition to be *inconsistent* with the *East District Plan*, based on information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

• The plan recommends multi-family and greenway land uses.

(<u>Therefore, we find</u>) this petition to not be reasonable and in the public interest based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

• (To be explained by the Zoning Committee)