

**City Council Policy/Business/Consent Agenda Q&A's**  
**September 12, 2022**

<b>Submitted By:</b>	<b>Agenda Item # and Questions</b>	<b>Answers/Considerations</b>
<b>Business Items</b>		
Please note that item #14. World Link Wireless, Inc. Lease at the Charlotte Transportation Center is being pulled by staff		
Winston	<p><b>7. Sponsorship Policy Revisions</b></p> <p>What are examples of sponsorship opportunities that have been missed because of existing policy?</p>	<p>We have missed 5-6 pet adoption events over the past eighteen months due to timing. Some sponsors only give a couple weeks of notice to their events. Main issue is timing to get the agreements approved by Council in time to secure agreement, funding and logistics for events. The city currently has a \$3,100 sponsorship pending with Subaru to fund pet adopts and a day event. For this and other similar pet clinic/adoption days the agreements currently cannot be made until Council approves.</p>
Mayfield	<p><b>9. Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing Preservation and Rental Subsidy Support Request</b></p> <p>Please explain how the rental subsidy works specific to these units.</p> <p>Are all the units occupied and exactly how will these numbers work? Are they for the current residents?</p>	<p>The NOAH Rental Subsidy Program provides rental subsidies to households earning 30% or below of the area median income (AMI) that do not have existing vouchers or other rent subsidies. As such, these will be low-income households entering into a new lease agreement with the property owner as natural turnover occurs and units become available. The tenant will pay what they can afford (30% of their income), with the rental subsidy (co-funded by the city and county) covering the difference between the tenant contribution and the asking rent (which can be no higher than applicable Fair Market Rent). The city's portion of the subsidy is funded by the Housing &amp; Neighborhood Services Department, with the city's annual aggregate subsidy not to exceed the annual city property taxes associated with the property. The Peppertree development will devote 44 units (of the total 292 units) to participate in the rental subsidy program. Housing Collaborative (formerly Socialserve), a local-non-profit, will administer the program on the city's behalf. The proposed rental subsidy program for Peppertree conforms with the NOAH Rental Subsidy Program Guidelines approved by City Council in November of 2021.</p> <p>Peppertree has 292 units, and the property is approximately 95% occupied. In accordance with Council-approved guidelines, the NOAH rental subsidy program is designed for renters at 30% AMI or below. We anticipate the 44 units set aside for the rental subsidy program will all be devoted to new tenants as attrition occurs at the property. For existing Peppertree households that fall in the 30%-80% AMI range, when these households are up for lease renewals, they can benefit from rent reductions IF their existing rental rate is higher than the affordable rents in place as a result of the proposed NOAH deed restriction.</p>
Ajmera	<p><b>9. Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing Preservation and Rental Subsidy Support Request</b></p>	

<u>Submitted By:</u>	<u>Agenda Item # and Questions</u>	<u>Answers/Considerations</u>
	<p>Please provide the breakout of funds between ARPA and CIP</p> <p>Can ARPA funds be used for affordable housing?</p>	<p>This RCA is to allocate \$8M in ARPA funds along with additional General Capital Investment Plan funds. The CIP contribution will come from the Innovative Housing program in the city’s Pay-As-You-Go Fund. The cost is based on utilization and the estimated property tax value of the development. If fully utilized, the annual estimate is projected to be between \$90,000 and \$150,000 annually for the 20-year term.</p> <p>ARPA funds can be used for housing projects that meet specific federal criteria. Please see link below for details.  <a href="https://treasury.gov">Affordable Housing How-To Guide (treasury.gov)</a></p>
<p>Mayfield &amp; Ajmera</p>	<p><b>10. CATS and Centralina Regional Council Interlocal Agreement Amendment</b></p> <p>What are the regional partners paying?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The amendment for the Centralina agreement before Charlotte City Council only includes the FY23 City of Charlotte funding contribution to the CONNECT Beyond effort.</li> <li>• Below is a breakdown of Charlotte’s funding. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ CRTPO funds: \$479,887</li> <li>○ NCDOT: \$59,986</li> <li>○ CATS/CDOT: \$159,986</li> <li>○ Total, Federal/State/ Local funds: \$699,859</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Centralina as the lead regional agency for the CONNECT Beyond effort has secured additional regional funding to advance the plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Gaston-Cleveland-Lincoln MPO: \$42,500, with a commitment for same amount in FY24</li> <li>○ Centralina Regional Council: \$35,000</li> <li>○ NC DOT: \$35,000 through FY23 Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Grant to Centralina Regional Council; Additional TDM funds from NCDOT are expected for this work.</li> <li>○ Department of Energy (Clean Cities program) is contributing \$17,500 for listening session on key CONNECT Beyond topics</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p>Mayfield</p>	<p><b>11. CATS Shuttle Bus Service Agreement</b></p> <p>How is this service for entertainment a priority when we cannot meet other routes service needs to get people to their work?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Ramsey Creek service only operates on Labor Day, Memorial Day, and 4th of July weekends. CATS operator service requirements are less on weekends compared to weekdays.</li> <li>• The service only operates 9 days and paid in full by Mecklenburg County.</li> <li>• CATS entered into this partnership based upon ridership demand and at the request of Mecklenburg County.</li> </ul>
<p>Mayfield</p>	<p><b>12. Exchange of Land Rights along the LYNX Light Rail Corridor with Charlotte Hawkins, LLC</b></p> <p>What exactly are we getting that equates to the difference in sales price from market?</p>	<p>The city is receiving 8,862 sq ft. valued at \$524,069.</p>

<u>Submitted By:</u>	<u>Agenda Item # and Questions</u>	<u>Answers/Considerations</u>
Mayfield	<p><b>13. Regional Express Bus Service Agreement - Rock Hill</b></p> <p>How often do we receive payments on this Interlocal?</p>	CATS is reimbursed monthly for the provided services.
Mayfield	<p><b>Boards and Commissions</b></p> <p>Please provide any details available as to why current members resigned.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alternative Compliance Review Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Michael Bridges – None given</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Bicycle Advisory Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Liza Pratt – health reasons</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Business Advisory Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ McClean Godley – Insufficient time</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Charlotte Business INClusion Advisory Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Griselda Bailey – none given</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Charlotte International Cabinet <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Leila Lahbib – Agreement met that seat should be allocated to an Airport employee that is a non-city employee</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Historic District Commission <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ James Jordan – none given</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Keep Charlotte Beautiful <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Liz Koerschgen – Joined “MoRA – Monroe Road Advocates” and did not have enough time for both</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Neighborhood Matching Grants Fund <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Michael Young – Moved to South Carolina</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Consent</b>		
Please note item #46 is being pulled by staff		
<b>46. Property Transactions - South End Rail Trail/I-277 Pedestrian Bridge, Parcel # 1</b>		
Mayfield	<p><b>38. Construction Administration and Inspection Services</b></p> <p><b>40. Stowe Regional Water Resource Recovery Facility Construction Phase 2</b></p> <p>Why are these two goals worded differently, what is the difference in approach for the goals between these two?</p>	<p>Item #38 is a Service Contract Part C of the Charlotte Business INClusion policy (established and committed 10% MSBE). CBI concurs with the goal negotiated with a minimal 10% MSBE after Proposals have been submitted; Part C section 2.1(h) of the Charlotte Business INClusion Policy.</p> <p>Item #40 is an Alternative Construction Agreement - Design-Build Part G of the Charlotte Business INClusion Policy. The city negotiates participation for Design-Build contracts after the firm is selected and scopes of work are defined for design and construction services (Part G: Section 2.4 of the Charlotte Business INClusion Policy).</p>
Mayfield	<p><b>40. Stowe Regional Water Resource Recovery Facility Construction Phase 2</b></p> <p>Why is the goal only 5%, can you provide the breakdown of contract deliverables into service vs equipment if applicable to the goal?</p>	The 5.52% is based on the scopes of work defined and the available MWSBE for those scopes.

