



# UDO Update: Second Draft Charlotte City Council



## UDO Workshop Meeting Recap – June 27

- Second Draft UDO (Public Hearing Draft) released for public review on Friday, June 3.
- Full redline Second Draft available on <u>www.CharlotteUDO.org</u>

## **Meeting Topics**

- Key changes from the First Draft to the Second Draft
- Final Economic Impact Analysis (EIA)
- UDO and Comprehensive Transportation Review
- Public engagement, feedback, and next steps



**D** CITY of CHARLOTTE

#### CHARLOTTE STREETS MANUAL



## COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION REVIEW GUIDELINES

## **City Council UDO Workshop**

Charlotte Department of Transportation

CITY of CHARLOTTE

## Comprehensive Transportation Review (CTR) Guidelines



Multimodal Assessment Transportation Demand Management Traffic Impact Studies (TIS)

Analysis & Testing

**Purpose:** 

• Test the CTR with the UDO

(confirm how they work together)

- Evaluate the CTR impact on land development (how many project will require a CTR?)
- Identify potential draft revisions and modifications.



CHARLOTTE

**STREETS** 

MANUAL



#### Streets Map (interactive map & document)

- Multimodal Street Types
- Corridor ROW Protection



## Access Management & Driveway Design

Driveway & Access Guidance



### Comprehensive Transportation Review (CTR)

- Multimodal
- Transportation Demand Management (TDM)
- Traffic Impact Studies

Intensity-Based Context	TIS Threshold	Development Scale		Multimodal Investment	Desired Outcome	
Low Intensity Development (based on UDO zoning districts) Zoning Districts: N-1, N-2, MHP, ML, IC, OFC, CG, CR	<b>1,500</b> (daily trips) Or <b>150</b> (peak hour trips)	Single Family: Townhomes: Apartments: Commercial: Office: Industrial:	150 units 204 units 276 units 13,000 sf 43,000-143,000 sf 380,000-425,000 sf	Tier 1 (3 points) Tier 2 (6 points) Tier 3 (9 points)	Multimodal Investment Range of vehicular projects (based on need and feasibility)	
High Intensity Development (based on UDO zoning districts) Zoning Districts: IMU, NC, CAC, TOD, RAC, UC UE	ent or Apartments: g districts) 200 Commercial: s: (peak hour trips) Office:	204 units 272 units 368 units 20,000 sf 58,000-193,000 sf 513,000-583,000 sf	Tier 1 (5 points) Tier 2 (9 points) Tier 3 (14 points)	Multimodal Investment Transportation Demand Management Targeted vehicular projects (with a priority on multimodal investment)		

### Scope of Analysis:

The analysis involved applying the CTR guidelines on actual development projects using several project data sources.

### 235 Total Projects

#### **Conditional Rezoning Petitions**

(120 Petitions – all of 2020)

#### **By-Right Development Petitions**

(100 Project Permits – 3Q 2020)

#### **UDO Economic Analysis Test Sites** (15 Scenarios)

Projects	Zoning			Existing				
			Net Trips					
Rezoning	Policy Map	Zoning	Intensity	Daily or Peak	Multmodal	TDM	TIS	TIS
2020-088 1	CAC	CAC-2	High	9,606	Tier 3	Tier 3	Yes	Yes
2020-027 2	CAC	CAC-2	High	4,865	Tier 3	Tier 3	Yes	Yes
2020-162 3	CAC	CAC-2	High	286	Tier 3	Tier 3	Yes	Yes
2020-068 4	CAC	CAC-2	High	3,000	Tier 3	Tier 3	Yes	Yes
2020-175 5	RAC	RAC	High	307	Tier 3	Tier 3	Yes	Yes
2020-032 6	RAC	RAC	High	196	Tier 3	Tier 3		
2020-055 7	CAC	CAC-2	High	1,540	Tier 3	Tier 3		
2020-134 8	CAC	CAC-2	High	1,281	Tier 2	Tier 2		
2020-190 9	CAC	CAC-2	High	1,260	Tier 2	Tier 2		
2020-026 10	CAC	CAC-2	High	763	Tier 1	Tier 1		
2020-059 11	RAC	RAC	High	889	Tier 1	Tier 1		
2020-112 12	Campus	Campus	Low	11,045	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-007 13	NC	NC	Low	8,020	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-181 14	NC	NC	Low	6,480	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-160 15	Campus	Campus	Low	6,373	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-058 16	Campus	Campus	Low	385	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-091 17	N1	N1	Low	5,980	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-092 18	N1	N1	Low	4,540	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-194 19	N2	N2	Low	4,090	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-086 20	N2	N2	Low	3,895	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-083 21	Campus	Campus	Low	3,615	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-141 22	Campus	Campus	Low	333	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-122 23	N2	N2	Low	3,005	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-145 24	N2	N2	Low	2,480	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-049 25	ML	ML	Low	2,465	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-151 26	N2	N2	Low	2,420	Tier 3		Yes	
2020-048 27	Commercial	Commercial	Low	2,370	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-035 28	ML-1	ML-1	Low	2,125	Tier 3		Yes	Yes
2020-034 29	IMU	IMU	Low	2,040	Tier 3		Yes	
2020-157 30	Commercial	Commercial	Low	156	Tier 3		Yes	
2020-159 31	N2	N2	Low	1,750	Tier 2			
2020-150 32	N1 & N2	N1 & N2	Low	1,690	Tier 2			
2020-079 33	N1	N1	Low	1,595	Tier 2			
2020-060 34	N2	N2	Low	1,460	Tier 1			
2020-047 35	Commercial	Commercial	Low	87	Tier 2			
2020-076 36	N1	N1	Low	1,360	Tier 2			
2020-017 37	N2	N2	Low	1,340	Tier 2			
2020-052 38	NC	NC	Low	1,305	Tier 2			
2020-137 39	N1	N1	Low	1,215	Tier 2			
2020-153 40	N2	N2	Low	1,195	Tier 2			
2020-102 41	N1	N1	Low	1,150	Tier 2			
2020-070 42	N1	N1	Low	1,100	Tier 2			
2020-200 43	N2 & N1	N2 & N1	Low	1,090	Tier 2			
2020-180 44	N2	N2	Low	1,080	Tier 2			
2020-075 45	N1	N1	Low	1,010	Tier 1			
2020-099 46	N2	N2	Low	945	Tier 1			
2020-166 47	Commercial	Commercial	Low	850	Tier 1			
2020-120 48	N1	N1	Low	760	Tier 1			
2020-097 49	N1	N1	Low	116	Tier 1			



#### UDO Economic Impact Analysis: Example CTR Summary

CTR	Existing Development Plan/Traffic Impact Study
Multimodal	<b>7th and Caswell Intersection -</b> Provide ADA accessible ramps and associated pedestrian signals (8 signalized ramps = 6 points)
Assessment Tier 3 (14 points)	<b>N Caswell and 5th Street Intersection -</b> Provide ADA accessible ramps (8 ramps = 8 points)
(	<b>On 7th Street -</b> Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (installing RRFB = <b>5 points</b> )
<b>DM Assessment</b> Tier 3 (6 points)	Construct CATS transit stop (1 points) <u>Additional CTR Requirement:</u> Credit for multimodal assessment mitigations (1 point) (proposed) Education, Marketing & Outreach TDM strategy (1 point) (proposed) TDM Coordinator (1 point) (proposed) End of Trip Facilities (1 point) (proposed) Bicycle repair station (1 point)
affic Impact Study Triggered TIS 056 trips after 30% reduction)	Performed TIS and studied several intersections with <u>no</u> <u>vehicular improvements identified</u> <u>CTR Consideration:</u> Consider alternative Traffic Assessment to evaluate the need for a TIS

CITY of CHARLOTTE

#### **Total Projects Analyzed**

(235 Projects)

Conditional Rezoning Petitions Reviewed rezoning petitions submitted in 2020 (120 Petitions)			velopment Projects construction plan submittals 00 Projects)	UDO EIA Site Testing Detailed "before/after" analysis of test sites (15 Scenarios)				
(40%)	49	Total CTR's Triggered	(28%)	28	Total CTR's Triggered	(73%)	11	Total CTR's Triggered
(17%)	-21	Existing TIS	(8%)	-8	Existing TIS	(46%)	-7	Existing TIS
(23%)	28	Net New CTR	(20%)	20	Net New CTR	(26%)	4	Net New CTR
(2.5%)	3	Net New TIS	(3%)	3	Net New TIS	(6%)	1	Net New TIS

## **Total Projects Analyzed**

(235 Projects)

CTR's triggered when applied to existing project examples	(37%)	88	Total CTR's Triggered
Subtract projects already required to do a TIS (includes negotiated multimodal	(15%)	-36	Existing TIS
investment)			
CTR can be completed with site/civil consultant (Traffic Engineer not required)	(22%)	+52	Net New CTR
Traffic Impact Studies (TIS) require Traffic Engineer to prepare	(3%)	+7	Net New TIS

	Low Intensity Multimodal	<b>Typical</b> Investment (\$ per project)	Example Multimodal Investment	Projects Analyzed	% of total	Total Investment	
	<b>Tier 1</b> (3 points)	\$30K	ADA ramps/sidewalk (one corner + 25f of sidewalk)	18	21%	\$540k	
	<b>Tier 2</b> (6 points)	\$60K	Signalized Pedestrian Crossing	14	16%	\$840k	
	<b>Tier 3</b> (9 points)	\$90K	<b>ADA ramps</b> (full intersection – 4 corners)	32	36%	\$2.8m	
				64	72%	\$4.2m	
I	High Intensity Aultimodal	<b>Typical</b> Investment (\$ per project)	Example Multimodal Investment	Projects Analyzed	% of total	Total Investment	
	<b>Tier 1</b> (5 points)	\$50K	Signalized Pedestrian Crossing	4	5%	\$200k	\$7.0 Million
	<b>Tier 2</b> (9 points)	\$90K	<b>ADA ramps</b> (full intersection – 4 corners)	2	2%	\$180k	Potential Multimodal
	<b>Tier 3</b> (14 points)	\$140K	ADA ramps/sidewalk (full intersection + 25f of sidewalk)	18	20%	\$2.5m	Investment (88 Projects)
				24	28%	\$2.8m	



## Key Changes from First Draft

- **Mitigation Value:** Adjusted Multimodal and TDM mitigation point values to better reflect cost/value mitigation
- **Fee-in-lieu:** Defined a fee-in-lieu option for mitigations to provide predictability, and flexibility when physical constraints limit developer construction of projects.
- Traffic Impact Study Area of Influence: Defined a process to determine the Area of Influence (AOI) in places and activity centers where traffic mitigation conflicts with the desired pedestrian and multimodal character or not feasible.

## **Testing & Analysis**

Summary Highlights:

#### **UDO Assumptions:**

More by-right development with fewer rezonings (saving development time and \$\$)

More intensity will be provided by new UDO Zoning Districts (EIA Study)

#### **Development Outcomes:**

- ~37% of development projects will require a CTR (can be conducted by site/civil consultant)
- ~3% increase in the number of Traffic Impact Studies (TIS) required
- ~\$30K to \$140K of private investment (multimodal) per typical development project (of projects triggering a CTR)

#### **Community Outcomes:**

- Captures by-right development
- Increased private investment in our multimodal infrastructure needs
- Increase (~3%) in Traffic Impact Studies (TIS) to respond to traffic and development growth
- More predictable outcomes than typical negotiated TIS process

# **QUESTIONS?**