

Petition 2021-225 by Boulevard Real Estate Advisors, LLC

To Approve:

This petition is found to be **inconsistent** with the *Scaleybark Transit Station Area Plan* (2008) based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- The plan recommends residential uses up to eight DUA for the site.

However, we find this petition to be reasonable and in the public interest, based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- The site is within a 1-mile walk to the Scaleybark Station.
- The TOD-NC district may be applied to parcels within a 1-mile walking distance of an existing rapid transit station or within a 1-mile walking distance of an adopted Metropolitan Transit Commission (MTC) alignment station location.
- The TOD-NC zoning district maintains the high level of design standards associated with the TOD-UC zoning district, but TOD-NC is more appropriate for this site due to its surrounding context of more moderately intense uses, as compared to the high intensity uses just east and southeast of the site along Yancey Road and Old Pineville Road.
- The use of conventional TOD-NC zoning applies standards and regulations to create the desired form and intensity of transit supportive development, and a conditional rezoning is not necessary.
- TOD standards include requirements for appropriate streetscape treatment, building setbacks, street-facing building walls, entrances, and screening.

The approval of this petition will revise the adopted future land use as specified by the *Scaleybark Transit Station Area Plan* (2008), from residential uses up to eight DUA to transit oriented development for the site.

To Deny:

This petition is found to be **inconsistent** with the *Scaleybark Transit Station Area Plan* (2008) based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- The plan recommends residential uses up to eight DUA for the site.

Therefore, we find this petition to not be reasonable and in the public interest based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- (To be explained by the Zoning Committee)

Motion:

Approve or Deny

Maker:

2ND:

Vote:

Dissenting:

Recused: