

Petition 2021-076 by White Point Partners

To Approve:

This petition is found to be **inconsistent** with the *Scalleybark Transit Station Area Plan* (2008) based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- The plan recommends office, industrial-warehouse-distribution, and single family residential uses for this site.

However, we find this petition to be reasonable and in the public interest, based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- The site is a ½-mile walk from the Scalleybark Station.
- The TOD-NC district may be applied to parcels within a 1-mile walking distance of an existing rapid transit station, or within ½ mile walking distance of an existing streetcar stop.
- The petition would allow for the redevelopment of the site to transit oriented uses.
- The TOD-NC zoning district maintains the high level of design standards associated with the TOD-UC zoning district, but TOD-NC is more appropriate for this site due to its lesser intensity and proximity to existing residential areas.
- The use of the conventional TOD-NC zoning applies standards and regulations to create desired form and intensity of transit supportive development, and a conditional rezoning is not necessary.
- TOD standards include requirements for appropriate streetscape treatment, building setbacks, street-facing building walls, entrances, and screening.

The approval of this petition will revise the adopted future land use as specified by the *Scalleybark Transit Station Area Plan* (2008), from office, industrial-warehouse-distribution, and single family residential uses to transit oriented development for the site.

To Deny:

This petition is found to be **inconsistent** with the *Scalleybark Transit Station Area Plan* (2008) based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- The plan recommends office, industrial-warehouse-distribution, and single family residential uses for this site.

Therefore, we find this petition to not be reasonable and in the public interest based on the information from the staff analysis and the public hearing, and because:

- (To be explained by the Zoning Committee)

Motion:

Approve or Deny

Maker:

2ND:

Vote:

Dissenting:

Recused: