

Implementing the Charlotte Future 2040 Comprehensive Plan

COMMUNITY AREA PLANNING: PUBLIC REVIEW & FEEDBACK

Community Area Planning

Council Priority Alignment

Transportation and Planning

Purpose

Information only

Key Takeaways

Present received comments and staff responses on the draft Community Area Plans & Policy Map.

Community Area Planning

Previous Council Communication

- April 28, 2025: Action review/Manager's Report
- ⊲April 7, 2025: TPD presentation
- ⊲March 3, 2025: TPD presentation
- ¬Jan. 6, 2025: TPD presentation
- **Dec. 2, 2024: Action review**


Future Council Action



These Plans are about:

Creating Complete Communities

ELEMENTS OF A COMPLETE COMMUNITY
















2012 - 2015

CONNECT Our Future Regional Growth Framework **2015**Land Lica P

Land Use Policy Audit 2016 - 2018

Place Type Research & Testing 2018 - 2021

Charlotte Future 2040 Comprehensive Plan

Blueprint for Growth



Zoning Map


VISION

Policy & Plans



LEGALLY BINDING

Regulations & Ordinances



Unified Development Ordinance

Adopted August 2022




Community Area Plans

 Systematic 5-Phase process (Currently in Phase 5: Review & Adoption)

Unique & common content; Reflects community feedback

- Consistent considerations for decision-making
- Accommodate growth with approach that:
 - Respects established neighborhoods
 - Aligns growth with infrastructure capacity
 - Creates vibrant, mixed-use centers for all



Value & Impact



2008 - 2015 area plans =

27% City coverage

verse

Community Area Plans =

100% City coverage + **FOUNDATIONAL**

NEIGHBORHOODS

Criteria for change in

Memorialize Strategic Investment Area potential projects

Identify **NEEDS** for mobility, open space, placemaking projects:

- Prioritization of programmatic \$
 - Development proposals
 - Neighborhood advocacy

Clearer direction for rezonings

(Helps align decisions with our equitable + smart growth strategy)

Where + how to reduce environmental **impacts** (such as heat impacts)

Engagement Summary

(Phase 1-4)



400,000+


People reached

(all interactions, including online and social media reach)

6,000+ In-person interactions

1,700 Comments received

MAP 1: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT MAP



Phase 5 Engagement Efforts (to date)

NOTIFICATIONS

- Digital ad (GOV Channel, YouTube Livestreams, CMGC Display Boards)
- Press release to media outlets (32 outlets)
- Informational email to Council
- Email blast to distribution list (4,197 recipients)
- Social media posts (12,948 CPDD & 6,243 City impressions)
- Website updates (City & Project)
- Media interviews (WCNC, WBTV, WSOC)
- Newsletters (City Speaks, CROWN Weekly)

ENGAGEMENT OPTIONS



Virtual Open House:

- Highlights of process
- Key takeaways
- Link to plans



Draft Plans:

- Available at cltfuture2040.com
- Read plans
- Share feedback



Office Hours:

- Speak to staff
- Tuesdays, 11 am − 1 pm
- Thursdays, 3 5 pm




Libraries:

- Hardcopies available
- **5**

MAY 15th WEBINAR

Your Voice, Your Community: Join the Conversation

What does a Community Area Plan mean for YOU and your community?



Join us for an interactive webinar where residents can speak with staff and get answers about how their individual Community Area Plan will impact daily life.



 \bigcirc 5 pm – 6 pm: West & North Plans = 57

6apmcip2ipm: East & South Plans = 47

Participants

104 Participants

Phase 5 Comments

Overview



Total Comments	Type of Comment
667	55 (8%) Support
	227 (34%) Suggestions
	117 (17%) Questions
	267 (40%) Concerns

Majority of feedback is collaborative

Feedback received on:

- All plan chapters
- All plan geographies

Phase 5 Comments

SUPPORT

"Duplexes and quadraplexes are common housing types in Dilworth and Sedgefield that should be built more frequently.

Support!"

In reference to the Placemaking Aspirations:

"This is a great idea – especially for the
Transforming Nations Ford service area,
which is incredibly diverse racially, culturally,
and generationally."

"This vision of a pedestrian-oriented, medium-density development within a five-minute bike ride from my house is one of the most exciting things I can recall ever seeing in a planning document."

"The West Middle CAP is an impressive document and great guide for future development. Thank you all for prioritizing our wellbeing and your hard work."

Phase 5 Comments



~35% of suggestions resulted in edits or additions to the draft plans.

Edits fall into four categories:

NEW CONTENT / POLICIES CLARITY QUALITY NEXT STEPS



NEW CONTENT / POLICIES

Add Details about Specific Plans (SP) – Identify the types of available plans and establish prioritization criteria for each type in the Program Guide.

Add Environmental Justice Action Plans (EJAPs) to the SP Toolbox – To support the implementation of the CAP Environmental Justice policies and further mitigate impacts.

Add an Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ) Policy – "To ensure delivery of adequate public services, consider utility capacity (water, sewer, and solid waste), road maintenance, emergency services, and evacuation routes when evaluating entitlements through city-led or privately initiated rezonings."

Revise Environmental Justice Policy EJ-7 – To encourage both the co-location of cooling amenities at mobility hubs and the integration of smart surfaces as mitigation tools in areas impacted by urban heat.



CLARITY

Enhance the 2040 Policy Map Spread – To clarify where change is anticipated circle "Areas of Change" on the Policy Map and include Place Type descriptions adjacent to map to support interpretation.

Add a New Spread on CAP Assessment Tables – To illustrate how the tables will guide future public and private investments in each community.

Develop a Funding Program Matrix for Specific Mobility Projects – To clarify that city mobility programs will help address infrastructure needs, identify eligible program funds for specific mobility requests.

Add Index, Glossary, and Omitted Methodology to Appendix – To enhance navigation and understanding.



QUALITY

Amend Maps to Improve Legibility – Increase legibility by adding neighborhood names, the ETJ boundary, the Catawba River, additional street names, etc.

Enlarge Implementation Disclaimers – Emphasize the Implementation Guide is not adopted; Enlarge disclaimer for Illustrative Concepts and add explanatory language about the intent of the Existing and Future Place Type maps.

Correct Scrivener's Errors – Improve accuracy by correcting labels, spelling errors, and mismatched image or maps.



NEXT STEPS

Develop an Implementation Roadshow – To help all users (community members, developers, city staff, and officials) understand how the CAP policies are to be interpreted and applied to decision-making.


Update Rezoning Staff Analysis – To integrate CAP policies and ensure requests are consistently evaluated in line with Charlottes equitable and smart growth strategy.

Share Requests for Specific Projects with Interdepartmental Partners – To be included in their databases for future prioritization.

Phase 5 Comments QUESTIONS & CONCERNS



Questions and Concerns fall into four categories:







1) Concerns about Growth:

Opposition to overall pace/scale of growth in the City

- Growth will continue
- CAPs help manage growth by:
 - Aligning development with infrastructure capacity
 - Respecting neighborhoods
 - Creating walkable, mixed-use centers
- Without CAPs, 73% of the city lacks community-level guidance for directing growth

2) Disagreement with Growth Strategy: Conflicting feedback on current approach

- More density vs. less density
- Current Policy Map designations can accommodate projected growth
- Policy PT-3 (Map Amendment Criteria) provides consistent considerations for evaluating map changes overtime
- Policy PT-5 provides criteria for change in neighborhoods





3) Request for Additional or Specific Infrastructure:

Emphasis on the need for more mobility projects, parks, greenways

- CAP memorializes the Strategic Investment Area
 (SIA) approach to align mobility policies + projects
- CAP Assessment Tables identify infrastructure needs to guide future private and public investments
- Neighborhood Toolkit outlines existing resources
- Requests for specific projects will be shared with interdepartmental partners for inclusion in future prioritization efforts

4) Impact on City Services:

Concerns about the ability to maintain quality city services with growth

 Policy PFS-1 calls for a formalized and unified system for developing and sharing growth forecasts, so all service providers have access to consistent growth data.

Phase 5 Comments QUESTIONS & CONCERNS: TRADE-OFFS



5) Balancing Tree Canopy and Development:

Concerns about preserving tree canopy amid ongoing development

- CAPs can't stop development
- 2040 goal for a healthy environment linked to UDO tree regulations
- Ongoing monitoring + adjustments (Tree Canopy Action Plan 2.0)

6) Environmental Justice Impacts:

Continued concern about neighborhoods adjacent to manufacturing

- Discussed with community during Policy Map and CAP development
- CAP EJ policies aim to ensure the condition isn't repeated in the future
- EJ Action Plan will focus on smaller geographies and implementation projects

7) Level of Detail in the Plans: Request for more neighborhood-specific details

- 2008 2015 Plans = 27%
 coverage, CAPs = 100%
 coverage
- CAPs offer appropriate details based on 2040 Plan, Policy Map, SMP, UDO
- Specific Plans will address unique issues in smaller geographies





8) Need for Policy Clarification:

Questions regarding Place Types, Strategic Mobility Plan, Strategic Investment Areas, Streets Map, Assessment Tables

- Answer questions
- Cross-references to related plan sections when appropriate
- Will make "clarifying" revision to improve interpretation:
 - Enhance 2040 Policy Map spread
 - Add new spread on CAP
 Assessment Tables
 - Add index and glossary

9) Data and Methodological Questions:

Inquiries regarding data sources, analysis methods, and interpretation

- Reference data sources and methodologies in Appendix
- Answer questions about interpretation

10) Implementation and Accountability:

Skepticism about whether plans will be effectively implemented

- CAP Implementation Roadshow
- Update rezoning staff analysis

Value & Impact



2008 - 2015 area plans =

27% City coverage

verse

Community Area Plans =

100% City coverage + **FOUNDATIONAL**

NEIGHBORHOODS

Criteria for change in

Memorialize Strategic Investment Area potential projects

Identify **NEEDS** for mobility, open space, placemaking projects:

- Prioritization of programmatic \$
 - Development proposals
 - Neighborhood advocacy

Clearer direction for rezonings

(Helps align decisions with our equitable + smart growth strategy)

Where + how to reduce environmental **impacts** (such as heat impacts)





June: Planning Committee Referral & Council Decision

- ⊲If adopted:
 - CAP Implementation Roadshow (Summer Winter 2025)
 - Update Rezoning Staff Analysis (Late Summer 2025)
 - Pre-planning for specific plans (Fall 2025)
 - Charlotte Future 2040 Comprehensive Plan 5-Year Assessment (2026)