Request For Council Action

Consideration of an Ordinance designating the property known as **Action Requested:**

the Arthur Smith Studios (listed under Tax Parcel Number 16302142 and including the exterior of the building, and the land listed under the tax parcel number) as an historic landmark.

Responsible **Department:** Charlotte-Mecklenburg Historic Landmarks Commission

Background: The enclosed Survey and Research Report sets forth the factors

> which persuaded the Historic Landmarks Commission to recommend the designation of Arthur Smith Studios as an historic land-

mark.

Explanation of Re-

quest:

The Historic Landmarks Commission functions to identify and safeguard historic buildings, sites and places in Mecklenburg County. The essential means of achieving this goal is to recommend the designation of such properties as historic landmarks. City Council is being asked to consider Arthur Smith Studios for designation as an

historic landmark.

Deferrable Taxes: Attached is a letter from the Mecklenburg County Tax Administra-

> tor stating that the subject property's deferrable taxes would be \$344.74 for City of Charlotte taxes, and \$599.96 for Mecklenburg

County taxes.

Clearances: The Department Review Process revealed that there are no conflicts

with other City projects.

Bibliography: Survey and Research Report on the **Arthur Smith Studios** (2015)

Date Submitted: September 4, 2015

Agenda Date Request- September 21, 2015

ed:

da Date is delayed or

Consequences if Agen- Would delay historic designation of the Arthur Smith Studios and thereby deprive the property of legal protection against inappropri-

Action is deferred: ate material alteration.

Contact Person: Stewart Gray, Preservation Planner (704) 376-9115

Request For Council Action

Authorized by: Dr. Dan L. Morrill, Consulting Director

List of Attachments: 1. Descriptive Cover Sheet

2. Draft of Ordinance

3. Survey and Research Report on the **Arthur Smith Studios**

4. Letter from Tax Office providing amount of potentially deferrable Ad Valorem taxes

5. Confirmation of vote of Historic Landmarks Commission

6. Comment Summary of Department Review

7. Letter from the State Historic Preservation Office